

Matthew P. Minser, Esq. (SBN 296344)  
Luz E. Mendoza, Esq. (SBN 303387)  
SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION  
1141 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 100  
Alameda, CA 94502  
Telephone: (510) 906-4710  
Email: [mminser@sjlawcorp.com](mailto:mminser@sjlawcorp.com)  
Email: [lmendoza@sjlawcorp.com](mailto:lmendoza@sjlawcorp.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, District Council 16  
Northern California Health and Welfare Trust Fund, et al.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND WELFARE TRUST FUND; and its JOINT BOARD OF TRUSTEES; ROBERT WILLIAMS and JOHN MAGGIORE, Trustees;

Case No.

## COMPLAINT

BAY AREA PAINTERS AND TAPERS  
PENSION TRUST FUND, and its JOINT BOARD  
OF TRUSTEES; ROBERT WILLIAMS and SAL  
MADRIGAL, Trustees:

DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 NORTHERN  
CALIFORNIA JOURNEYMAN AND  
APPRENTICE TRAINING TRUST FUND, and its  
JOINT BOARD OF TRUSTEES; ROBERT  
WILLIAMS and JIM MAGGIORE, Trustees.

## Plaintiffs.

v.

BRYAN J. VALVERDE, an individual and dba  
VALVERDE CO. and BRYAN J. VALVERDE  
CO.,

## Defendant

11

## Parties

1. The District Council 16 Northern California Health and Welfare Trust Fund (“Health Fund”); Bay Area Painters and Tapers Pension Trust Fund, including the Bay Area Painters and Tapers Pension Trust Fund Annuity Plan (together “Pension Funds”); and District Council 16 Northern California Journeyman and Apprentice Training Trust Fund (“Apprentice Fund”) are employee benefit plans as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”) § 3(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(3). The Joint Board of Trustees (“Trustees”) of said Funds are the named fiduciaries of the Funds under ERISA § 302(a), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(a). Robert Williams and John Maggiore are Trustees, and fiduciaries, of the Health Fund. Robert Williams and Sal Madrigal are Trustees, and fiduciaries, of the Pension Fund. Robert Williams and Jim Maggiore are Trustees, and fiduciaries, of the Apprentice Fund. The Health Fund, Pension Funds, Apprentice Fund, and their respective Trustees and fiduciaries are collectively referred to herein as “ERISA Plaintiffs” or “Plaintiffs.”

2. Bryan J. Valverde, an individual and dba Valverde Co. and Bryan J. Valverde Co. (“Defendant”), is an employer by virtue of ERISA § 3(5), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(5), and NLRA § 2(2), 29 U.S.C. § 152(2).

## Jurisdiction

3. Jurisdiction exists in this Court over the claims asserted by ERISA Plaintiffs by virtue of ERISA § 502, 29 U.S.C. § 1132, in that ERISA Plaintiffs seek to enforce the provisions of ERISA and the terms of their plans, seek to enjoin the acts and practices which violate ERISA, seek equitable relief to redress such violations, and seek all other appropriate relief under ERISA.

4. Jurisdiction exists in this Court over all the claims by virtue of the Labor Management Relations Act (“LMRA”) § 301, 29 U.S.C. § 185, in that Plaintiffs seek to enforce the terms and conditions of valid Bargaining Agreements.

5. To the extent jurisdiction over any claim does not exist under ERISA or the LMRA, supplemental jurisdiction exists in this Court over such claims by virtue of 29 U.S.C. § 1367 in that they arise out of a common nucleus of operative facts that form the basis of the federal claims asserted herein, each of which has a substantial ground in federal jurisdiction.

//

1  
Venue

2       6.     Venue is conferred upon this Court by § 502, 29 U.S.C. § 1132. Where an action is  
 3 brought under ERISA § 502 in a district court of the United States, it may be brought at Plaintiffs'  
 4 discretion, in the district where the plan is administered, where the breach took place, or where a  
 5 defendant resides or may be found. ERISA Plaintiffs' Trust Funds are administered in this district at  
 6 their principal place of business in Dublin, California. Thus, jurisdiction and venue are properly  
 7 grounded with this Court.

8       7.     Venue exists in this Court with respect to the claims under LMRA § 301, 29 U.S.C. §  
 9 185, as this Court has jurisdiction over the parties, as District Council No. 16 of the International Union  
 10 of Painters and Allied Trades (the "Union") maintains its principal place of business in this district, its  
 11 duly authorized officers or agents are engaged in representing employee members in this district, and the  
 12 claims arise in this district.

13  
Intradistrict Assignment

14       8.     The basis for assignment of this action to this Court's Oakland Division is that all of the  
 15 events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in the County of Alameda, where ERISA  
 16 Plaintiffs' Funds and the Bargained Entities are administered, and where Defendant therefore failed to  
 17 fulfill its statutory and contractual obligations to Plaintiffs.

18  
Bargaining Agreements

19       9.     Defendant Bryan Valverde entered into the Northern California Painters Master  
 20 Agreement ("Painters Agreement") between the Union and the Northern California Painting and  
 21 Finishing Contractors Association on behalf of Valverde Co. Defendant Bryan Valverde also entered  
 22 into the Northern California Drywall Finishers Master Agreement ("Drywall Agreement") between the  
 23 Union and the Wall and Ceiling Alliance on behalf of Valverde Co. The Painters Agreement and the  
 24 Drywall Agreement are collectively referred to as the "Bargaining Agreements." The Bargaining  
 25 Agreements require employer contributions to Plaintiffs' ERISA Funds, to the Union for union dues,  
 26 and to the other plans more fully described in the Bargaining Agreements. Plaintiffs are third party  
 27 beneficiaries of the Bargaining Agreements.

28       10.    In addition, Defendant Bryan Valverde, on behalf of Valverde Co., executed the

1 Agreement of Employers Regarding Bay Area Painters and Tapers Trust Funds, which provides that the  
 2 person signing on behalf of the employer is personally and individually liable for the employer's  
 3 contributions to Plaintiffs as required by the Bargaining Agreements. Defendant Bryan Valverde listed  
 4 the Employer's Contractor's License No. as "707087" when signing the Agreement of Employers  
 5 Regarding Bay Area Painters and Tapers Trust Funds. The Contractors State License Board website lists  
 6 "Bryan J. Valverde Co." as the Contractor associated with Contractor License No. "707087." Plaintiffs  
 7 are informed and believe that Bryan J. Valverde, Valverde Co., and Bryan J. Valverde Co. are each the  
 8 sole proprietorship of Bryan J. Valverde and are operating as single employers, alter egos, and/or  
 9 successors of one another.

10       11. Under the terms of the Bargaining Agreements, Plaintiffs' Trustees are authorized to  
 11 collect monies due by Defendant to the following plans: the IUPAT Finishing Trades Institute, the  
 12 IUPAT Labor-Management Cooperation Initiative, the Work Preservation Fund, the Northern California  
 13 Painting and Finishing Contractors Industry Fund, the Skills, Safety, Supervisor & Survival Training  
 14 Awards Recognition (STAR) Program, Inc., the Vacation/Holiday Fund, and the IUPAT Political Action  
 15 Together-Political Committee (collectively referred to herein as the "Bargained Entities"). Plaintiffs'  
 16 Boards of Trustees have been authorized to collect and distribute monies due to the Bargained Entities  
 17 as well as dues due to the Union under the Bargaining Agreements and Trust Agreements.

18       12. Under the Bargaining Agreements and the governing documents of ERISA Plaintiffs (the  
 19 "Trust Agreements"), which are incorporated into the Bargaining Agreements and made binding on  
 20 Defendant, Defendant is required to regularly pay to ERISA Plaintiffs, the Bargained Entities, and the  
 21 Union, certain sums of money, the amounts of which are determined by the hours worked by  
 22 Defendant's employees. Contributions are due on the fifteenth (15<sup>th</sup>) day of the month following the  
 23 month hours were worked, and considered delinquent if not received by the last day of that month.  
 24 Defendant is also required, pursuant to the Bargaining and Trust Agreements, to pay liquidated damages  
 25 in the amount of ten percent (10%) for each delinquent contribution, but in the amount of twenty percent  
 26 (20%) for each delinquent contribution which is the subject of litigation. Moreover, the Bargaining and  
 27 Trust Agreements provide that interest accrues on delinquent contributions at the rates reasonably set by  
 28 the Trustees from the day contributions become delinquent, which is the first (1<sup>st</sup>) day of the month

following the month in which payment was due, until paid.

13. The Bargaining Agreements further require Defendant to maintain time records or timecards, and to submit any and all relevant records to Plaintiffs for examination to determine whether Defendant is making full and prompt payment of all sums required to be paid by it to Plaintiffs. Should an audit of Defendant's records reveal Defendant has failed to provide full and prompt payment of all sums due, Defendant must reimburse Plaintiffs for the amounts due, including audit fees, in addition to any other obligations pursuant to the Bargaining and Trust Agreements.

## Factual Allegations

14. Defendant has failed and refused to report and pay fringe benefit contributions for hours worked by its employees during the months of May 2022 and June 2022. Liquidated damages and interest are owed to Plaintiffs on the unreported and unpaid contributions for these months.

15. Defendant has failed and refused to pay reported fringe benefit contribution balances for hours worked by its employees during the months of March and April 2022. Liquidated damages and interest are owed to Plaintiffs on the unpaid contributions for these months. Defendants also owe liquidated damages and interest on late-paid contributions for the months: March 2021 and May 2021 through February 2022.

16. Plaintiffs are also entitled to recover any and all contributions, and all liquidated damages and interest on delinquent contributions, found due on timecards, audit, or otherwise including estimated contributions for months Defendant failed to report to Plaintiffs, through the time of Judgment. Plaintiffs reserve the right to conduct an audit to determine whether there are any additional amounts due from Defendant.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**For Submission of Contribution Reports and Payment of Delinquent Contributions, Interest,  
Liquidated Damages, Attorneys' Fees and Costs Against Defendant**

17. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 16, above.

18. Defendant has a contractual duty to timely report and pay the required contributions to Plaintiffs and the Bargained Entities, and to timely pay dues to the Union, pursuant to the Bargaining Agreements and Trust Agreements. Defendant also has a contractual duty under the Bargaining

Agreements, and Trust Agreements incorporated therein, to permit an audit of its records to determine whether it is making full and prompt payment of all sums required to be paid by it to Plaintiffs, and to pay Plaintiffs all amounts found due as a result of an audit, including audit fees.

19. In addition, Defendant has a statutory duty to timely make the required payments to Plaintiffs under ERISA § 515, 29 U.S.C. § 1145, and LMRA § 301(a).

20. By failing to make the required submission of reports and payments to Plaintiffs, Defendant breached the Bargaining Agreements and is in violation of ERISA § 515, 29 U.S.C. § 1145, and LMRA § 301(a).

21. Defendant's failure and refusal to pay the required contributions was at all times, and still is, willful. Defendant continues to breach the Bargaining Agreements, and incorporated Trust Agreements, by failing to report and pay all amounts owed as alleged. Said refusal is unjustified and done with knowledge and intent.

22. ERISA Plaintiffs are without an adequate remedy at law and will suffer continuing and irreparable injury, loss and damage unless Defendant is ordered specifically to perform all obligations required on Defendant's part to be performed under ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1101-1381, the LMRA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 141-197, and the Bargaining and Trust Agreements, and is restrained from continuing to refuse to perform as required thereunder.

23. This Court is authorized to issue injunctive relief based on the traditional standard. As set forth above, ERISA Plaintiffs have a strong likelihood of success on the merits. There is the possibility that ERISA Plaintiffs' Trust Funds and their participants will suffer irreparable injuries. The balance of hardships and advancement of public interest favor ERISA Plaintiffs.

24. This Complaint does not in any manner relate to statutory withdrawal liability that may or may not be assessed against Defendant. ERISA Plaintiffs and the Bargained Entities expressly reserve the right to pursue any such withdrawal liability claims against Defendant as provided by ERISA Plaintiffs' and the Bargained Entities' Plan Documents, Trust Agreements, and the law.

Prayer

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs pray as follows:

1. For a judgment against Defendant as follows:

(a) Any unpaid contributions, due at time of Judgment, including those specified above as well as any other contributions determined as due by audit, timecards, or otherwise, including estimated contributions for any months Defendant fail to report to Plaintiffs, pursuant to ERISA § 502(g)(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(A);

i. To ERISA Plaintiffs and the Bargained Entities, in accordance with ERISA § 502(g)(2)(A), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(A) and the Bargaining Agreements;

ii. To the Union in accordance with the Bargaining Agreements.

(b) Liquidated damages on all unpaid contributions in an amount provided for under the Bargaining and Trust Agreements, and with respect to ERISA Plaintiffs, ERISA § 502(g)(2)(c), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(c).

(c) Interest on all unpaid contributions at the rates set in accordance with the Bargaining Agreements, the Trust Agreements, and ERISA § 502(g)(2)(B), 29 U.S.C. § 1132 (g)(2)(B).

2. Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of this action, including any audit fees, in accordance with ERISA § 502(g)(2)(D) and (E), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(g)(2)(D) and (E); and in accordance with the Bargaining Agreements for all Bargained Entities; and with LMRA § 301, 29 U.S.C. § 185, for all Plaintiffs.

3. For an order,

(a) requiring that Defendant comply with their obligations to Plaintiffs under the terms of the Bargaining Agreements and the Trust Agreements;

(b) enjoining Defendant from violating the terms of those documents and of ERISA;  
and;

(c) enjoining Defendant from disposing of any assets until said terms have been complied with, and from continuation or operating of Defendant's business until said terms have been complied with.

4. That the Court retain jurisdiction of this case pending compliance with its orders.

111

111

5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: July 20, 2022

SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION

By: \_\_\_\_\_ /S/  
Matthew P. Minser  
Luz E. Mendoza  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs, District Council 16 Northern  
California Health and Welfare Trust Fund, et al.